

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

PLIN. EP. II. 12. 4

Pliny is exclaiming over the unreasonableness of a decision of the Senate which allowed a man convicted of complicity in extortion to retain his seat in that body, subject to certain limitations of privilege: "quid enim miserius quam exsectum et exemptum honoribus senatoriis labore et molestia non carere? quid grauius quam tanta ignominia adfectum non in solitudine latere ? praeterea quid publice minus aut congruens aut decorum \(\)quam \(\) notatum a senatu in senatu sedere," etc.

All of the MSS, of whatever family, read without quam between decorum and notatum, and the earlier editors were content to follow them in that particular. Sichardus, however, in his recension of the Aldine edition (Basel, 1530), inserted quam, doubtless out of regard for the structure of the preceding sentences. His example was not without influence on subsequent editors, though many still clung to the MS reading, ordinarily punctuating with an interrogation point after decorum as well as after sedere and the corresponding infinitives that follow. Keil also, in his first edition (1853), went back to the MSS, but later repented, and in his Erlangen programm of 1865 (p. 16) defended briefly the insertion of quam, and inserted it in his critical edition of 1870, wherein he has been followed by later editors. The grounds of his defense are Pliny's regard for concinnitas orationis, and doubt whether the exclamatory phrase could be used by him in such a connection (apparently he means without quam after a comparative).

Pliny's regard for a mechanical concinnitas orationis has been (me iudice) altogether exaggerated by some scholars, though that question is too large to enter upon here. But the omission of quam after a comparative and before a clause can be paralleled from Pliny's friend and fellow-rhetorician, Tacitus, who, according to the MS tradition, wrote, in Ann. ii. 77. 1f., "Domitius Celer disseruit si quid hostile ingruat, quem iustius arma oppositurum qui legati auctoritatem et propria mandata acceperit?" Here Lipsius inserted quam before qui, and has been followed therein by later editors. But the correspondence of phrase and setting in the passages from Pliny and Tacitus, though not perfect, prompts the thought that the MSS of both authors may be right, and the omission of quam after a comparative and before a clause in rhetorical appeals be more frequent than is commonly supposed. A further, and perfect, parallel can be found in Aetna 253ff. (Ellis):

nam quae, mortales, super est amentia maior, in Iouis errantem regno perquirere uelle, tantum opus ante pedes transire ac perdere segnem?

Whatever the variations of text here, there are none that affect the construction at issue. I should be grateful for information of other similar instances.

E. T. M.